

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
·09/522,373	03/10/2000	Lawrence A Loeb	16336-000730US	5107
20350	7590 10/30/2002			
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER			EXAMINER	
			LACOURCIERE, KAREN A	
EIGHTH FLO	ISCO, CA 94111-3834			
SAN FRANCI	515CO, CA 94111-3634		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1635	h.
			DATE MAILED: 10/30/2002	14
				' (

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

FILE COPY

• •		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/522,373	LOEB ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Karen A. Lacourciere	1635			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sh t with the co	orrespondence address			
THE N - Exter after: - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from t cause the application to become ABANDONED	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 A	<u>ugust 2002</u> .				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Thi	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-18,45-50 and 66</u> is/are pending in t	he application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10,13-18,45-50 and 66</u> is/are rejected.					
7)🖂	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11, 12</u> is/are objected to.					
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application	on Papers					
9) 🗌 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
,	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.				
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
	☐ The translation of the foreign language production	• •				
Attachment	(s)					
2) D Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) latent Application (PTO-152)			
S. Patent and Tra	ademark Office					

Application/Control Number: 09/522,373

Art Unit: 1635

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Terminal Disclaimer

The terminal disclaimer filed on 06-10-2002 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of U.S. Patent No. 6,063,628 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

Double Patenting

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 1-10, 13-18, and 66 are maintained as rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-18 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,063,628. This is a double patenting rejection.

A statutory type double patenting rejection under 35 U.S.C. 101 cannot be overcome by filing a Terminal Disclaimer and therefore, this rejection is maintained.

The rejection of record of claims 11, 12, 45-50, under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-18 and 22 of U.S. Patent No. 6,063,628 is withdrawn in response to the Terminal Disclaimer filed 06-10-2002.

Application/Control Number: 09/522,373

Art Unit: 1635

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 45-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 45 recites "wherein the analog is one that in a infected cell with a virus of interest is incorporated by a polymerase into an RNA copy of a genomic nucleic acid encoding the virus". This phrase is grammatically incorrect and it is unclear what limitation is provided to the claim by this phrase.

Claims 46-50 are indefinite for the same reasons due to dependence on claim 45.

Claim Objections

Claims 11 and 12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karen A. Lacourciere whose telephone number is (703) 308-7523. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John LeGuyader can be reached on (703) 308-0447. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-4242 for regular communications and (703) 305-1935 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Karen A. Lacourciere October 29, 2002 SEAN McGARRY
PRIMARY EXAMINER

1635